

## UNITED STATE EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INV	ENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/302,19	95 04/29/	99 MAROHART		R 26046/0400
			$\neg$	EXAMINER
024024	VITED & ODI	HM22/0313		
CALFEE HALTER & GRISWOLD, LLP 800 SUPERIOR AVENUE			ART UNIT THEN, PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 140	00			
CLEVELAND	OH 44114			DATE MAILED:
				03/13/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	09/302,195	MAROHART ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Harry J Guttman	1651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE $\underline{1}$ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).</li> <li>Status</li> </ul>						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claims 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Driesite, under 25 H C C 5 440						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).						
<ul><li>a) All b) Some * c) None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been:</li><li>1. received.</li></ul>						
2. received in Application No. (Series Code / Serial Number)						
3. received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(e).						
Attachment(s)						
<ul> <li>15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ul>	19) Notice of Information	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-98)

Application/Control Number: 09/302,195

Art Unit: 1651

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-8 and 13-15, drawn to comblike surfactant polymer and substrate, classified in class 514, subclass 8.
- II. Claims 9 and 10, drawn to process to reduce thrombogenicity of a hydrophbic surface of a substrate, classified in class 514, subclass 8.
- III. Claims 11 and 12, drawn to method of promoting attachment of endothelial cells to the surface of a hydrophobic surface, classified in class 435, subclass 402.
- IV. Claims 16-20, drawn to method of preparing a surfactant polymer for changing the surface properties of a biomaterial, classified in class 514, subclass 2.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions of group I and group II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product of group I can be used by the process of group III.

Inventions of group I and group III are related as product and process of use.

The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be

Application/Control Number: 09/302,195

Art Unit: 1651

shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product of group I can be used by the process of group II.

Inventions of group I and group IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the surface of group I does not have to be a biomaterial, as is required in group IV.

All other inventions are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, all other invention groups are processes that have different functions.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter in the non-patent literature, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Groups I and IV are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising one of the hydrophilic side chains ((1) oligosaccharide (including charged, uncharged and PEO) or (2) oligopeptide). Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Application/Control Number: 09/302,195

Art Unit: 1651

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Harry J. Guttman, Ph.D. at telephone number (703) 305-0159. The examiner can normally be reached during the hours of 07:30 to 16:00 Eastern Time, Mon.-Thurs. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, a message may be left on the voice mail. The fax number for Art Unit 1651 is (703) 308-4242 or 305-3014. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196. My supervisor, Michael Wityshyn, may be contacted at (703) 308-4743.

All internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees will not communicate with applicant via internet e-mail where sensitive data will be exchanged or where there exists a possibility that sensitive data could be

Art Unit: 1651

identified or exchanged unless there is of record an express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122 by the applicant. See the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Patent and Trademark Office Official Gazette on 25 February 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

H.J.G. 12 March 2001

Harry J. Guttman, Ph.D.

Examiner, 1651

harry.guttman@uspto.gov

Jon P. Weber, Ph.D. Primary Examiner